

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

F

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/672,766	09/26/2003	Max W. Durney	A-69466-3/RBC/VEJ	9673	
32940	7590 03/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			CRANE, DANIEL C		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 4 EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,766	DURNEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel C Crane	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  1) days will be considered timely.  1 from the mailing date of this communication.  1 SONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,-						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 121-161 and 169-172 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 121-125,130-137,143-152,154-161 ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) 126-129,138-142 and 153 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  and 169-172 is/are rejected.  acted to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		ļ				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applity of the documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		(TTO 110)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/20/04 &amp; 6/28/04</u>.     </li> </ol>		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3725

#### CLEAR LINE OF DISTINCTION

This application is a division of a previous patent application. Because of the similarity of the claimed subject matter, it is applicant and applicant's attorney's responsibility to maintain a clear line of distinction between the claims of this application and applicant's parent application.

#### **BASIS FOR REJECTIONS**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

#### REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 121-125, 130-137, 143-147, 150, 151, 154-161 and 169-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gitlin (6,640,605). See Figures 9 and 10 and column 7, lines 1-32, where the "edge" 34 of sheet 10R engages with the "face" of sheet 10L on opposite sides of the slit 14 when the sheet is bent along the bend line A (Figure 8). The strap 40 (Figures

Art Unit: 3725

9 and 10) is depicted as angled relative to the linear bend line so that it is neither parallel nor perpendicular to the linear bend line. Accordingly, each strap that extends between the longitudinally adjacent slits extends in an oblique angle relative to the longitudinal axis. Arcuate slits are shown in Figures 10a-10f. See Figure 8 where the ends of each slit 14 are formed with rounded cuts that have convex sides. The rounded cut at the ends in each slit is formed with end portions "diverging away from the bend line". See Figure 10a and 10e where the arcuate slit is arranged so that it curves "away" from the bend line by virtue of the fact that the arc does not coincide with the bend line. Increasing width dimension will occur in the Figure 8 embodiment since the ends of the slits are provided with rounded cutouts. Similarly, the Figure 10a and 10b embodiment will have increasing width straps due to the arcuate shape of the slits. What constitutes a reduction in residual stress during bending is open to broad interpretation when no reference point has been set out. The width of the kerf is shown to be smaller than the thickness of the sheet material in Figure 10. Figure 10f is shown to have a kerf of greater than the thickness of the sheet. As to claim 169, see Figures 10e and 10f.

Claims 148, 149 and 152 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gitlin (6,640,605). Selecting the width dimension for the bending straps would merely facilitate the ease of bend within the material. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Gitlin's process by further selecting a desired bending strap width for the purpose of facilitating ease of bend in the material. This feature along with the material characteristic would be considered by the skilled artisan desiring a specific bend quality and bend structure. With reference to claim 152, the elasticity of the

Art Unit: 3725

material will facilitate the bend in the material. Accordingly, the selection of the material, whether it is elastic or plastic, with respect to its bend capabilities, does not affect the overall process per se. It is the examiner's position that the skilled artisan having the benefit of Gitlin would have recognized the bend features on both materials.

#### INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 126-129, 138-142 and 153 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

### **RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)**

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

Art Unit: 3725

## **INQUIRIES**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516. The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks, can be reached at (571) 272-4419.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted by facsimile transmission at all times to Fax number (703) 872-9306. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Examiner's Fax number is (571) 273-4416.

DCCrane March 22, 2005 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3725